	Case 2:11-cr-00380-LRH-CWH Document 55 Filed 05/30/13 Page 1 of 3
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
8	* * * * *
9	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
10) 2:11-cr-00380-LRH-CWH Plaintiff,
11	vs. ORDER
12	JAMES ARTHUR ROBBINS,
13	Defendant.
14)
15	Before the court is Defendant James Robbins' ("Defendant") Motion to Withdraw Guilty
16	Plea (Doc. #51) ¹ and the Government's Response (Doc. #52). After carefully reviewing the
17	Defendant's Motion, the Government's Response, and the transcript from Defendant's change of
18	plea hearing (Doc. #49), the court denies the Defendant's Motion.
19	I. Facts and Background
20	On March 8, 2012, the Defendant, at a change of plea hearing and pursuant to a plea
21	agreement, pled guilty to two counts of Distribution of a Controlled Substance – Marijuana and
22	one count of Possession of a Controlled Substance with Intent to Distribute – Marijuana, in
23	violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(C). Based on the sentencing calculations in the
24	plea agreement, the Defendant expected a contemplated sentencing range significantly lower than
25	the sentencing range recommended by the United States Probation Office's Presentence Report.
26	In response, the Defendant filed the present Motion to Withdraw Guilty Plea. (Doc. #51). In his
27	motion, the Defendant claims he did not have full knowledge of his sentencing range at the time
28	of his plea, and his plea should therefore be set aside.
	¹ Refers to the court's docket number.

II. Discussion

To enter a valid guilty plea, a defendant must understand "any maximum possible penalty, including imprisonment, fine, and term of supervised release." FED. R. CRIM. P. 11(b)(1)(H). Following a guilty plea, a defendant may withdraw the guilty plea if "the defendant can show a fair and just reason for requesting the withdrawal." FED. R. CRIM. P. 11(d)(2)(B). To determine what constitutes a "fair and just reason" to withdraw, courts consider such factors as whether the defendant has challenged the adequacy of the Rule 11 plea colloquy, whether new evidence has been discovered, and whether there exist other intervening circumstances. *See United States v. Rios-Ortiz*, 830 F.2d 1067, 1069 (9th Cir. 1987); *see also United States v. Turner*, 898 F.2d 705, 713 (9th Cir. 1990); *United States v. Cedano-Perez*, No. 2:09-CR-421, 2012 WL 1292448, *1 (D. Nev. Apr. 16, 2012). Additionally, "it is well established that an erroneous prediction by a defense attorney concerning sentencing does not entitle a defendant to challenge his guilty plea." *United States v. Garcia*, 909 F.2d 1346, 1348 (9th Cir. 1990); *see also Cedano-Perez*, 2012 WL 1292448 at *1.

Here, during the plea colloquy, the Defendant confirmed that he was informed as to how the sentencing guidelines would apply to him. Doc. #49, Change of Plea Tr., 20:19–22. He further confirmed he understood that the court's actual sentencing guideline range, informed in part by the Presentence Report, may differ from any attorneys' estimations, and any such difference would not be grounds upon which to withdraw the guilty plea. *Id.* at 20:23–21:12. Finally, the Defendant acknowledged that, when determining the sentence, the court may consider any conduct relevant to charges the Government had agreed not to prosecute. *Id.* at 22:10–16.

Based on the plea colloquy, the court finds the Defendant had full knowledge of the potential range of sentences. The Defendant knew he could potentially receive a 20-year consecutive sentence on each of the three counts, and he conceded any disputes regarding quantities of controlled substances. *Id.* at 15:18–16:6. He further recognized that any sentence estimations in the plea agreement, including both length and type of sentence, were not binding on the court's sentencing determinations. Therefore, the court finds the Defendant's plea was

1	knowing and voluntary and that he has not provided a fair and just reason for withdrawing his	S
2	guilty plea. Accordingly, the court shall deny his Motion to Withdraw Guilty Plea.	
3		
4	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Defendant's Motion to Withdraw Guilty Plea	
5	(Doc. #51) is DENIED.	
6	IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED this 30th day of May 2013	
7	DATED this 30th day of May, 2013.	
8	LARRY R. HICKS	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
2122		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Case 2:11-cr-00380-LRH-CWH Document 55 Filed 05/30/13 Page 3 of 3